

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/752,649	01/08/2004	John Christenson	589-004	4207
75	590 11/17/2004		EXAM	INER
CLIFFORD G. FRAYNE			SALDANO, LISA M	
Suite 7A 136 Drum Point Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Brick, NJ 087			3673	
			DATE MAIL ED: 11/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/752,649	CHRISTENSON, JOHN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Lisa M. Saldano	3673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Se	eptember 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/7/2004.		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doleshal (5,226,751) in view of Watts, Jr. (4,245,931) and Christenson (5,919,004) and Wilson (4,063,429).

Doleshal discloses a process and apparatus for creating a controlled environment around a submerged pile 10 or other structures by encapsulation and treating and repairing the encapsulation area. Doleshal discloses that the process is used to create an encapsulated space along a desired portion of a pile. Doleshal states that the portion of the pile may be either partially or wholly underwater. Doleshal further disclose that the process constitutes a technique to reduce deterioration of the pile due to wave action, tides, corrosion, insects, marine animals and so forth (see column 1, lines 10-15 and column 4, lines 1-45). The process comprises an embodiment wherein a metal jacket 20 is provided (see column 4, lines 33-45) and filled with an expanding closed-cell foam formed from liquid chemicals, epoxy resins or the like (see column

5, lines 5-35). The jacket is removed from the pile after the coating 14 formed by the foam filler has cured, allowing the jacket to be reused and leaving the pile encapsulated by a cured coating.

However, Doleshal fails to explicitly disclose that the upper portion of the piling and an associated header are encapsulated. Doleshal also fails to explicitly disclose that foam is a sprayed mixture comprising the compounds claimed by the applicant of the present invention. Doleshal also fails to explicitly disclose that the steps are performed when a water level is below the high water level. Doleshal also fails to disclose specific coating thickness for the foam coating.

Watts discloses a post assembly 10 and method wherein a covering 14 over a head post end includes a foamed sythetic resin 16 (see abstract and column 4, lines 1-15 and Fig.1). Watts discloses that the invention provides a means to minimize the problem of wood posts and pilings deteriorating from weather exposure, bacteria, fungi and insects (see column 1, lines 15-30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the encapsulation process of Doleshal to the upper portion and associated header of a piling, as taught by Watts, because Doleshal discloses that the encapsulation method may be used along any portions of the pile, not excluding an upper portion. Watts merely provides explicit proof that one of ordinary skill in the art may choose to provide encapsulation of the head post end of a pile for protection of the pile from deteriorating effects such as weather exposure, bacteria, fungi and insects.

Wilson discloses a method of protecting a pipeline from deleterious effects caused by contact of the pipeline with water (see column 1, lines 45-65) wherein a foam reaction mixture is

sprayed over the pipeline thereby encapsulating the pipeline only at the location of a selected segment (see column 6, claim 3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the encapsulation process of Doleshal comprising foam wherein the foam is sprayed at a selected segment, as taught by Wilson, because both inventions teach the process of encapsulating a structural element from harmful effects. Wilson explicitly discloses that the foam may be sprayed on the structural element for the purpose of encapsulation. Furthermore, the Wilson also teaches that the spraying method of foam application allows the person applying the foam to encapsulate select segment of the structural element, such as an upper portion of the structural element.

Christenson discloses a method and apparatus for protective encapsulation of structural members wherein a pile 10 is encapsulated using foam 54 to fill voids and prevent marine infestation of the pile. Christenson discloses that preferred foam for this purpose includes a reaction mixture of isocyanate, up to about 20 percent by weight of CHCIF₂, up to about 2 percent by weight of water, and a combination of polyols having an average OH number from about 300 to 500 further comprising polyalkoxylated glycerine having an OH number of from about 200 to about 300 and in which the alkoxy groups each have from 2 to about 3 carbon atoms.

Regarding claim 2, Christenson discloses a method of jacketing a submerged portion of a piling wherein the jacket has been injected with the foam as described above.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the specific foam taught by Christenson to the foam encapsulation method of

Doleshal because Christenson clearly discloses that a preferred foam for the encapsulating piles includes the reaction mixture reaction mixture as described above.

Although Doleshal fails to explicitly disclose a range of thickness for the foam, the optimal range of the foam coating thickness can be obtained through routine experimentation based on the foam characteristics.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on September 7, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the applicant's argument on page 8, last paragraph, and page 10, first paragraph wherein the applicant argues that "the invention is directed primarily toward commercial piers or wharfs where the upper end of the piling engages a header, which header supports the pier or wharf platform," the examiner responds as follows. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., header supports the pier or wharf platform) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Regarding the applicant's argument that the Wilson reference adds nothing to the combination of references, the examiner notes that the rejections clearly states that the Wilson reference is relied upon for its teaching of sprayed foam in the process of encapsulating a

structural element from harmful effects. Since the applicant is also claiming such subject matter, the Wilson reference is pertinent and useful.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time 4. policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lisa M. Saldano whose telephone number is 703-605-1167. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather C. Shackelford can be reached on 703-308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/752,649

Art Unit: 3673

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lms

HEATHER SHACKELFORD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 7